



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,406	11/23/2005	Christelle Pragnon	021305-00214	2881
4372	7590	06/19/2008		
ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER MARTIN, PAUL C	
			ART UNIT 1657	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/19/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com
IPMatters@arentfox.com
Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/539,406

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1657

20080610

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 01/08/08. The submission, however, is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because the Remarks and Amendments do not address the 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph rejection of Claims 6-13 as failing to comply with the written description requirement with regard to New Matter or the rejection of Claims 8-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention, specifically that Claims 8-10 are drawn to the application of the method of any one of claims 1-3 and 5-6 to the evaluation of the invasive character of the cells, evaluation of the oncogenicity of the cells and prediction of the sensitivity of the cells to an anticancer treatment respectively. It is unclear how these goals will be accomplished, as there are no further method steps or description for making these determinations. Since the Advisory Action of 12/4/07 reaffirmed those rejections and answered the Applicant's arguments, the current amendment which does not further address those rejections or amend the claims is deemed to be non-responsive. Since the submission does not appear to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, the statutory period is from the mailing date of the original Non-responsive letter of 03/14/08, to submit a complete reply.

Paul Martin
Examiner
Art Unit 1657
06/11/08

/Jon P Weber/, SPE 1657